

Table of Contents

Preface and Acknowledgements.....	7
-----------------------------------	---

Stefan Schumann / Karin Bruckmüller / Richard Soyer

A. Research background and methodology.....	21
1. Basic presumption: the need for access to legal advice pre-trial	23
2. Research background: law in transition	23
2.1. Recent developments in the research countries	23
2.2. Recent ECtHR judgments on access to legal advice pre-trial.....	24
2.3. EU Roadmap for strengthening suspects' rights.....	25
3. Research scope and methodology	26
3.1. Factual and geographical scope of research	27
3.1.1. Geographical scope: Austria, Croatia, Germany, and Slovenia.....	27
3.1.2. Factual scope: access to legal advice, other suspects' rights pre-trial, and emergency defence lawyer schemes.....	27
3.2. Research methodology: legal research, empirical research and legal comparison.....	28
3.3. Research team, partners and supporters	29
Bibliography	30

Karin Bruckmüller / Zlata Đurđević / Stefan Schumann / Primož Gorkič

B. Legal Report	33
------------------------------	-----------

Karin Bruckmüller

I. Austria	35
1. Introduction – the reform of the Criminal Procedure Code.....	35
2. An outline of Austrian criminal procedure	36
2.1. Stages of criminal procedure	36
2.2. Pre-trial proceedings.....	36
2.3. Pre-trial proceedings in numbers	37
2.4. Practical importance of pre-trial proceedings.....	37
3. Status of suspects and form of questioning	38
3.1. No suspect/ enquiry – informal questioning	38
3.2. Suspect according to law/ interrogation – formal interrogation	39
3.3. Challenges in practice	40
4. Access to legal assistance.....	40
4.1. Right to defence.....	40
4.2. Right to information.....	41
4.2.1. Issues of Information	41

Table of Contents

4.2.2. Time of information.....	41
4.2.3. Exception.....	42
4.2.4. Provision of information in practice.....	42
4.3. Right to information supported by the right to access to the case file.....	43
4.4. Consultation with a defence lawyer	44
4.4.1. Pre-trial.....	45
4.4.1.1. First interrogation	45
4.4.1.2. Arrest.....	46
4.5. Translation	47
4.6. Informing a confidant	48
4.7. Notifying a consular agent	48
4.8. Support by Police.....	48
5. Legal Aid pre-trial.....	48
6. Emergency defence lawyer service	50
7. Sound recording or filming of the first interrogation	52
8. Enforcement of suspect's and defence rights	53
8.1. Exclusion of evidence	53
8.2. Remedies.....	54
8.2.1. Pre-trial.....	54
8.2.2. After the trial	55
9. Conclusions	55
Bibliography	56

Zlata Đurđević

II. Croatia	59
1. Introduction: an overview of the standing and rights of suspects.....	59
1.1. Origins and recent changes of the Croatian CPA	59
1.2. The structure of Croatian criminal procedure.....	61
1.3. Distinguishing suspects and defendants.....	63
1.4. Suspects' rights: an overview	63
2. Pre-trial procedure: statistics	64
3. Access to legal assistance.....	66
3.1. The right to consult a defence lawyer	66
3.1.1. Pre-investigatory stage.....	66
3.1.2. During the investigation stage	67
3.2. Access to a defence lawyer in practice	67
3.2.1. Notices, translation and interpretation	68
3.2.2. Availability of a defence lawyer emergency service.....	68
4. Participative rights of defence lawyers.....	69
4.1. In the pre-investigatory stage.....	69
4.2. Investigation.....	69
5. Sound recording or filming of interviews	70
6. Legal aid	70

7.	Enforcement of suspects rights.....	70
7.1.	Exclusion of evidence obtained in violation of these rights	70
7.2.	Legal remedies	71
	Bibliography	71
	<i>Stefan Schumann</i>	
III.	Germany	73
1.	Introduction: an overview on the standing of the suspect and defence rights in German criminal procedure	73
1.1.	Legal and institutional background of German Criminal Procedure	73
1.1.1.	The applicable laws	74
1.1.1.1.	Statutory and constitutional law.....	74
1.1.1.2.	ECHR and EU law.....	74
1.1.1.3.	Administrative instructions for public prosecutors and police	76
1.1.2.	Structure and approach of German criminal proceedings	76
1.1.3.	The standing of suspects in criminal proceedings	77
1.2.	The pre-trial stage.....	80
1.2.1.	The police, the public prosecutor and the judge pre-trial	80
1.2.2.	Practical importance of pre-trial stage	81
1.2.2.1.	Pre-trial stage as final stage of proceedings.....	82
1.2.2.2.	Pre-trial stage determining the outcome of the whole proceedings.....	84
2.	Information on suspects' rights and access to legal advice in pre-trial proceedings	85
2.1.	Informal questioning before criminal proceedings.....	86
2.2.	Formal interrogation.....	87
2.2.1.	Information on suspects' rights.....	87
2.2.1.1.	Right to be informed of suspects' rights.....	87
2.2.1.2.	Timing.....	88
2.2.1.3.	Right to remain silent.....	89
2.2.2.	Access to legal advice and participative rights of the defence lawyer	89
2.2.2.1.	Access to legal advice	89
2.2.2.2.	Presence and participative rights of the defence lawyer	91
2.2.3.	Audio or video recording of interviews	92
2.3.	Arrest/pre-trial detention and suspects' rights.....	92
2.3.1.	Information on suspects' rights	93
2.3.1.1.	Information on the reason for arrest and on the charge	93
2.3.1.2.	The right to be informed of suspects' rights.....	93
2.3.1.3.	Mode and timing of information	94
2.3.2.	Access to legal advice	94
2.4.	Access to legal advice in practice	95
2.4.1.	Mandatory defence as a legal aid substitute?	95

Table of Contents

2.4.1.1. Applicability of mandatory defence	95
2.4.1.2. Choice, timing and costs	96
2.4.2. Emergency defence lawyer services	97
3. Enforcement of suspect's and defence rights	98
4. Conclusions	99
Bibliography	100

Primož Gorkič

IV. Slovenia **103**

1. Introduction: an overview on the standing and rights of suspects	103
1.1. An outline of the Slovenian criminal procedure and issue of terminology	103
1.2. The origins: CPA of 1967	105
1.3. The suspect in the CPA of 1995	106
2. Pre-trial (police) procedure: statistics	108
3. Access to legal assistance	110
3.1. Right to consult a defence lawyer (arrest and first interview)	110
3.1.1. Arrest	110
3.1.2. First interview	112
3.1.2.1. Informal questioning (Art. 148/VI CPA)	115
3.1.2.2. Formal interview of the suspect (Art. 148a CPA)	115
3.2. Access to a defence lawyer in practice	116
3.2.1. Language	116
3.2.2. Postponement of further investigation	116
3.2.3. Notification of immediate family	117
3.2.4. Contacting the consulate	117
3.2.5. Police assistance	118
3.3. Emergency defence services?	118
4. Participative rights of defence lawyers	119
4.1. First interview/questioning	119
4.2. Consultations with the defence lawyer	119
4.3. Questions and advice to the suspect	120
5. Sound recording or filming of informal questioning or interviews: rules, practice and admissibility as evidence	121
5.1. Informal questioning	121
5.2. Formal interviews	122
5.3. Recordings as evidence	122
6. Legal aid: access to legal aid in pre-trial police procedure	122
7. Enforcement of a suspect's defence rights	123
7.1. Exclusion of evidence under Slovenian law: a brief overview	123
7.2. Exclusion of evidence obtained in violation of suspects' defence rights pre-trial	124

7.2.1. Statements obtained prior to the granting of procedural rights.....	125
7.2.2. Statements obtained by informal questioning under article 148/VI CPA	125
7.2.3. Statements obtained by formal interview under article 148a CPA.....	125
7.3. Remedies for the violation of rights in criminal procedure	126
7.3.1. Remedies prior to judgement	126
7.3.2. Remedies after the judgement	127
8. Concluding remarks.....	127
Bibliography	128

Bernhard Klob / Jacques Huberty / Carlotta Pirnat / Christian Graf

C. Quantitative Report 131

I. Descriptive Statistics	133
1. Sample description and population demographics	137
2. Austria	139
2.1. Point in time “inquiry/ informal questioning”	139
2.1.1. Information about the suspicion of a criminal act.....	139
2.1.2. Information about suspects’ rights	139
2.1.3. Contact with the criminal defence lawyer	141
2.2. Point in time “immediately before the first official interrogation”	142
2.2.1. Information about the suspicion of a criminal act.....	142
2.2.2. Information about suspects’ rights	142
2.2.3. Contact with the criminal defence lawyer	144
2.3. Point in time “detention”	145
2.3.1. Information about the suspicion of a criminal act.....	145
2.3.2. Information about suspects’ rights	145
2.3.3. Contact with the criminal defence lawyer	147
2.4. Emergency defence lawyer service	147
2.4.1. Establishment.....	147
2.4.2. Usage	148
2.4.3. Costs	149
2.5. Access to legal advice	149
2.5.1. Before interrogation	149
2.5.2. During interrogation	150
2.6. Audio and video recordings during interrogations	154
2.7. Legal remedies	156
2.8. Legal Aid.....	156
3. Germany.....	158
3.1. Point in time “inquiry/ informal questioning”	158
3.1.1. Information about the suspicion of a criminal act.....	158
3.1.2. Information about suspects’ rights	159
3.1.3. Contact with the criminal defence lawyer	160

Table of Contents

3.2.	Point in time “immediately before the first official interrogation”	161
3.2.1.	Information about the suspicion of a criminal act.....	161
3.2.2.	Information about suspects’ rights	162
3.2.3.	Contact with the criminal defence lawyer	163
3.3.	Point in time “detention”	164
3.3.1.	Information about the suspicion of a criminal act.....	164
3.3.2.	Information about suspects’ rights	164
3.3.3.	Contact with the criminal defence lawyer	166
3.4.	Emergency defence lawyer service	167
3.4.1.	Establishment	167
3.4.2.	Usage	168
3.4.3.	Costs	168
3.5.	Access to legal advice	169
3.5.1.	During interrogation	169
3.6.	Audio and video recordings during interrogations	172
3.7.	Legal remedies	173
3.8.	Legal Aid.....	174
4.	Croatia	176
4.1.	Point in time “inquiry/ informal questioning”	176
4.1.1.	Information about the suspicion of a criminal act.....	176
4.1.2.	Information about suspects’ rights	177
4.1.3.	Contact with the criminal defence lawyer	178
4.2.	Point in time “immediately before the first official interrogation”	179
4.2.1.	Information about the suspicion of a criminal act.....	179
4.2.2.	Information about suspects’ rights	180
4.2.3.	Contact with the criminal defence lawyer	181
4.3.	Point in time “detention”	182
4.3.1.	Information about the suspicion of a criminal act.....	182
4.3.2.	Information about suspects’ rights	183
4.3.3.	Contact with the criminal defence lawyer	184
4.4.	Emergency defence lawyer service	185
4.4.1.	Establishment.....	185
4.4.2.	Usage	186
4.4.3.	Costs	187
4.5.	Access to legal advice	187
4.5.1.	Before interrogation	187
4.5.2.	During interrogation	188
4.6.	Audio and video recordings during interrogations	191
4.7.	Legal remedies	193
4.8.	Legal Aid.....	194
5.	Slovenia	196
5.1.	Point in time “inquiry/ informal questioning”	196
5.1.1.	Information about the suspicion of a criminal act.....	196
5.1.2.	Information about suspects’ rights	196
5.1.3.	Contact with the criminal defence lawyer	198
5.2.	Point in time “immediately before the first official interrogation”	198
5.2.1.	Information about the suspicion of a criminal act.....	198
5.2.2.	Information about suspects’ rights	199

5.2.3. Contact with the criminal defence lawyer	200
5.3. Point in time “detention”	201
5.3.1. Information about the suspicion of a criminal act.....	201
5.3.2. Information about suspects’ rights	202
5.3.3. Contact with the criminal defence lawyer	203
5.4. Emergency defence lawyer service	204
5.4.1. Establishment.....	204
5.4.2. Usage.....	205
5.4.3. Costs	205
5.5. Access to legal advice	206
5.5.1. Before interrogation	206
5.5.2. During interrogation	206
5.6. Audio and video recordings during interrogations.....	209
5.7. Legal remedies	210
5.8. Legal Aid.....	211
II. Hypotheses testing.....	213
1. Comparisons of stages, countries and occupational groups.....	213
1.1. Model building.....	213
1.1.1. Stages of the pre-trial proceedings.....	214
1.1.2. Countries	215
1.1.3. Occupational Groups.....	217
1.2. Methods	218
1.2.1. Data analysis.....	218
1.2.2. Sample	219
1.2.3. Scales of measurement and variables.....	219
1.3. Results.....	219
1.3.1. Information about the offence	219
1.3.1.1. Model analysis.....	220
1.3.1.2. Summary.....	223
1.3.2. Information about the facts of the case.....	224
1.3.2.1. Model analysis.....	225
1.3.2.2. Summary	227
1.3.3. Access to file	228
1.3.3.1. Model analysis.....	229
1.3.3.2. Summary	231
1.3.4. Information on the right to remain silent	231
1.3.4.1. Model analysis.....	232
1.3.4.2. Summary	235
1.3.5. Information about the right to remain silent in mother tongue	236
1.3.5.1. Model analysis.....	236
1.3.5.2. Summary	238
1.3.6. Information on the right to remain silent in comprehensible language	239
1.3.6.1. Model analysis.....	240
1.3.6.2. Summary	241
1.3.7. Information about the right to contact a lawyer	242

Table of Contents

1.3.7.1. Model analysis.....	243
1.3.7.2. Summary.....	248
1.3.8. Information about the right to contact a lawyer in mother tongue	248
1.3.8.1. Model analysis.....	249
1.3.8.2. Summary.....	252
1.3.9. Information about right to contact a lawyer in comprehensible language	252
1.3.9.1. Model analysis.....	253
1.3.9.2. Summary.....	255
1.3.10. Consultation of defence lawyers.....	256
1.3.10.1. Model analysis.....	256
1.3.10.2. Summary.....	259
1.3.11. Phone consultation before waiver.....	259
1.3.11.1. Model analysis.....	260
1.3.11.2. Summary.....	262
1.3.12. Personal consultation before waiver.....	262
1.3.12.1. Model analysis.....	263
1.3.12.2. Summary.....	265
2. Influential factors on the consultation of defence lawyers at the different stages	265
2.1. Model building.....	265
2.2. Methods.....	267
2.2.1. Data analysis.....	267
2.2.2. Sample	267
2.2.3. Scales of measurement and variables.....	268
2.3. Results.....	268
2.3.1. Inquiry/informal questioning.....	268
2.3.1.1. Model validation	268
2.3.1.2. Results	270
2.3.1.3. Summary	272
2.3.2. Before Interrogation.....	272
2.3.2.1. Model validation	272
2.3.2.2. Results	274
2.3.2.3. Summary.....	276
2.3.3. Detention	276
2.3.3.1. Model validation	276
2.3.3.2. Results	278
2.3.3.3. Summary.....	280

*Karin Bruckmüller / Zoran Burić / Katrin Forstner / Lukas Gamlich /
Primož Gorkič / Stefan Schumann*

D. Qualitative Report 281

Karin Bruckmüller / Stefan Schumann / Katrin Forstner / Lukas Gamlich

I. Austria 283

1.	Contact and consultation of a legal representative pre-trial – advantages for all persons involved.....	284
1.1.	Advantages for the suspect	284
1.2.	Advantages for police, prosecutors and judges	285
2.	Infrequent participation of a defence lawyer pre trial – reasons.....	286
2.1.	Underestimating the situation	286
2.2.	Expense factor.....	287
2.3.	Category of offence	287
2.4.	Suspects' experiences of the police.....	287
2.5.	Situation with the police and public prosecutor	288
3.	Lack of or inadequate information.....	288
3.1.	No proper information	289
3.2.	No possibility of contacting a lawyer	289
3.3.	Information is not understandable.....	289
3.4.	Suspects unsure whether a lawyer would be able to help	289
3.5.	Potential police influence?	290
4.	Improvements of access to legal advice (and the emergency service)	291
4.1.	Stationary lawyers?	291
4.2.	Reduction of costs	291
4.3.	Information provided by the lawyer.....	292
4.4.	Clear information and letter of rights.....	292
4.5.	Prohibition on the use of evidence.....	292
5.	Improvements to the emergency defence service	293
5.1.	Better and direct remuneration for lawyers	293
5.2.	Publicity	293
5.3.	Better supply in rural areas	294
5.4.	Comprehensible information.....	294
6.	Ensuring the quality of legal advice	294
6.1.	Highly qualified and specialised defence lawyers.....	294
6.2.	High quality of mandatory defence and legal aid	295
6.3.	High quality of interpreters	295
6.4.	Audiovisual recording	296
7.	Conclusion.....	297
<i>Zoran Burić</i>		
II.	Croatia	299
1.	Introduction.....	299
2.	Presence of a defence lawyer during police interview – why is it not used in practice?.....	299
3.	Emergency lawyer schemes – do they exist in Croatia?.....	302
3.1.	At the police station	302
3.2.	Before the investigating judge.....	303

Table of Contents

4. Concluding remarks 304

Stefan Schumann / Katrin Forstner

III. Germany 305

1. Introduction 305
1.1. Sample description 305
1.2. Interview focus 306
2. Findings 307
2.1. Consultation of defence lawyers pre-trial 307
2.1.1. Nature or severity of crime 307
2.1.2. Suspects' status and awareness 307
2.1.3. Police behaviour and conduct 309
2.1.4. Costs of a defence lawyer 310
2.2. The practical importance of emergency defence lawyer services 310
2.3. Recommendations on how to improve emergency defence lawyer services 312
2.4. Recommendations on how to improve legal representation pre-trial 313
2.5. Other important issues 315
3. Conclusions 318
Bibliography 318

Primož Gorkič

IV. Slovenia 319

1. Introducing the problem: the decision (not) to obtain a defence lawyer 319
1.1. Benefits of a "Miranda warning" 319
1.2. Rate of representation 319
2. Factors affecting access to legal advice: previous studies 321
2.1. Research in Slovenia 321
2.2. Research abroad 322
2.3. Summing up: factors determining suspect's decision to seek legal advice 323
3. The method: interviews 323
4. Interview reports 325
4.1. Interview report no. 1 (assistant to public prosecutor) 325
4.2. Interview report no. 2 (currently defence lawyer, former judge and prosecutor) 326
4.3. Interview report no. 3 (defence lawyer) 326
4.4. Interview report no. 4 (currently police investigator, former assistant to prosecutor) 327
4.5. Interview report no. 5 (public prosecutor) 328
4.6. Interview report no. 6 (professor of law) 329

4.7. Interview report no. 7 (currently judge, former police investigator)	330
4.8. Interview report no. 8 (police investigator)	330
4.9. Interview report no. 9 (currently defence lawyer, former public prosecutor).....	331
4.10. Interview report no. 10 (currently assistant to public prosecutor, former police investigator)	332
4.11. Interview report no. 11 (police investigator)	333
4.12. Interview report no. 12 (defence lawyer).....	334
4.13. Interview report no. 13 (judge).....	335
4.14. Interview report no. 14 (defence lawyer, former public prosecutor).....	336
4.15. Interview report no. 15 (defence lawyer).....	337
4.16. Interview report no. 16 (defence lawyer).....	338
5. Factors determining the rate of representation by a defence lawyer.....	339
5.1. Overview of factors, reported by the interviewees	339
5.2. Analysis, interpretation	345
5.2.1. Institutional factors.....	345
5.2.1.1. Police conduct: improper influence.....	345
5.2.1.2. Police conduct: intensifying the investigation	346
5.2.1.3. Police conduct: insufficient information on facts and nature of the offence.....	346
5.2.1.4. Police conduct: taping of interviews	347
5.2.2. Suspects.....	347
5.2.2.1. Money.....	347
5.2.2.2. Type of offence.....	348
5.2.2.3. "I did nothing wrong".....	348
5.2.2.4. "Who should I contact?".....	348
5.2.3. Bottom line: relaxed pre-trial procedure, relaxed (first-time) suspects?	348
6. Establishing an emergency defence service: Slovenian perspective	349
Bibliography	350

Stefan Schumann / Karin Bruckmüller / Richard Soyer

E. General report, conclusions and recommendations 351

1. Basic facts on pre-trial stage.....	354
1.1. Practical importance of pre-trial proceedings.....	354
1.1.1. Pre-trial stage as final stage of proceedings.....	354
1.1.2. Pre-trial stage determining the outcome of the whole proceedings.....	354
1.2. Police dominating (ordinary) pre-trial proceedings.....	355
1.3. Standing of suspects and access to suspects' rights pre-trial.....	356
1.4. Conclusions	357

Table of Contents

2.	Access to legal advice pre-trial	357
2.1.	The legal situation: the right to access legal advice and the right to information	357
2.1.1.	Distinguishing informal questioning and formal police interrogation	357
2.1.2.	Before and during police interrogation	358
2.1.3.	Arrest / pre-trial detention	359
2.2.	The information on the right to access legal advice in practice.....	361
2.2.1.	The “Whether” of the instruction	361
2.2.2.	The “How” of the instruction	361
2.3.	Supporting access to legal advice – Emergency defence lawyer services	362
2.4.	Access to legal advice pre-trial in practice	363
2.5.	Factors influential on access to legal advice.....	365
2.5.1.	How we identified influencing factors.....	365
2.5.2.	Legislative decisions.....	365
2.5.3.	The information on the right to access to legal advice.....	366
2.5.3.1.	The correlation between the information and the actual access to legal advice.....	366
2.5.3.2.	Three questions on the information arrangement: What? How? When?	366
2.5.4.	The existence of an emergency defence lawyer service	368
2.5.5.	Suspects underestimating pre-trial proceedings.....	369
2.5.6.	Costs of involvement	369
2.5.7.	Type and severity of the accusation and investigative measures and social and professional status of the suspect.....	370
2.5.8.	Previous experiences with the law enforcement agencies....	370
2.6.	Conclusions	371
3.	Summary conclusions.....	371
4.	Recommendations	372
4.1.	The information on the right to access legal advice has to provided as follows	372
4.1.1.	Timing.....	372
4.1.2.	Manner	372
4.1.3.	Benchmark	373
4.2.	Access to a lawyer should be facilitated as follows.....	373
4.2.1.	Timing.....	373
4.2.2.	Manner	373
4.2.3.	Benchmark	373
4.3.	Emergency defence lawyer services have to be provided as follows	373
	Benchmark.....	374
4.4.	A waiver of the right to access to legal advice in order to be effective has to meet the following standards	374
	Bibliography	374
	Authors and Contributors	375